

MAY 6-9, 2023 | CHICAGO, IL EXHIBIT DATES: MAY 7-9, 2023

@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023

Clinical Validation of a Cell-free DNA Blood-based Test for Colorectal Cancer Screening in an Average Risk Population

Daniel C. Chung¹, Darrell M. Gray II ^{2,3}, Joel K. Greenson ⁴, Samir Gupta ⁵, Craig Eagle ⁶, Sylvia Hu ⁶, AmirAli Talasaz ⁶, Rachel B. Issaka ^{7,8}, Harminder Singh ⁹, Frank A. Sinicrope ¹⁰, William M. Grady ^{8,11}

1. Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 2. Gray Area Strategies LLC, Owings Mills, MD 3. Association of Black Gastroenterologists and Hepatologists, New York, NY 4. Department of Pathology at Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor, MI 5. University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA 6. Guardant Health, Palo Alto, CA 7. Clinical Research & Public Health Sciences Divisions, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle Washington 8. Division of Gastroenterology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle Washington 9. Departments of Internal Medicine and Community Health Sciences, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba & Cancer Care Manitoba Research Institute, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada 10. Mayo Clinic and Mayo Alix School of Medicine, Rochester, MN 11. Translational Science and Therapeutics and Public Health Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA

Background

- Despite the widespread availability of many CRC screening options, there are persistent barriers and screening rates remain suboptimal¹
 - Approximately 59% of eligible individuals aged 45 years+ are adherent², well below the target of 80%³
- A blood-based CRC screening test, completed as part of a routine health care encounter, presents an opportunity to increase adherence to CRC screening⁴
- We report the performance of a cell-free DNA (cfDNA) blood-based CRC screening test in an average-risk population undergoing screening colonoscopy.



@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023

1) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. <u>www.cdc.gov</u>; 2) Siegel RL, et al. 2023. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians; 3) Wender R, et al. 2020. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics; 4) Adler A, et al. 2014. BMC Gastroenterol

cfDNA blood-based CRC screening test





@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023

ECLIPSE - Evaluation of the ctDNA LUNAR-2 Test in an Average Patient Screening Episode



Average risk for CRC

- 🗹 🛛 Age 45-84
- No CRC familial predisposition
- No recent CRC screening
- No prior history of cancer or inflammatory bowel disease

Whole blood collected prior to screening colonoscopy and associated preparation Screening Colonoscopy

Blood-based CRC screening Test



@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023

Chung, et al. Digestive Diseases Week 2023. Abstract #913e

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04136002

Study objective: test performance as compared to colonoscopy with histopathology

Co-Primary Endpoints	CRC sensitivity	Advanced Neoplasia (AN)* Specificity
Acceptance Criteria	Lower-bound of 2-sided 95% Wilson CI > 65%	Lower-bound of 2-sided 95% Wilson CI > 85%
Enrollment	65 evaluable individuals with CRC	Target sample size of 7,000 with non-CRC: 80% power to establish specificity > 85% <u>Stratified random sampling such that age</u> <u>distribution</u> of the non-CRC subjects followed the 2020 US age distribution
		*Advanced neoplasia (AN): CRC or advanced

precancerous lesion



@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023

Enrolled Participants



ECLIPSE enrollment reflective of diverse US population

Characteristic	Clinical Validation Cohort	Evaluable Subjects
	(N=10,258)	(N=7,861)
Age (years)		
Mean (SD)	60.6 (9.13)	60.3 (9.14)
Min, Max	45, 90	45, 84
Age Group (years)	N (%)	N (%)
45-49	776 (7.6)	640 (8.1)
50-69	7,161 (69.8)	5,495 (69.9)
70+	2,321 (22.6)	1,726 (22.0)
Sex		
Female	5,493 (53.5)	4,218 (53.7)
Race		
American Indian or Alaska Native	19 (0.2)	14 (0.2)
Asian	685 (6.7)	560 (7.1)
Black or African American	1,353 (13.2)	931 (11.8)
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	24 (0.2)	19 (0.2)
White	7,939 (77.4)	6,167 (78.5)
Other / Multiple / Missing	238 (2.3)	170 (2.2)
Ethnicity		
Hispanic or Latino	1,561 (15.2)	1,044 (13.3)

ECLIPSE: Enrolled >20% of participants identifying as non-white, mirroring the US population⁶

>200 rural and urban sites, including community hospitals, private practices, GI clinics and academic centers



ECLIPSE met co-primary endpoints





@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023

Stage Specific CRC Sensitivity

Stage I – III Sensitivity: 81%[#]



Advanced Precancerous Lesion Detection

Most advanced finding on Colonoscopy		Positive Results	Sensitivity
Advanced Lesions	1116	147	13%
High Grade Dysplasia	31	7	23%

- No significant differences in APL sensitivity based on key clinical characteristics
- Sensitivity for more advanced pathology trended higher



@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023

cfDNA blood-based test: potential to have high impact on CRC screening

	CRC Sensitivity ^{7,8} (From Literature)	Patient Adherence Rates ⁹⁻¹⁵ (From Literature)
cfDNA Blood Test	83%	85 - 96% ¹⁶
Colonoscopy	95%	28 - 59%
FIT stool test	74%	43 - 65%
Multitarget stool DNA test	92%	48 - 71%

Screening programs require consideration of clinical effectiveness: performance of the test under **real world conditions integrating patient adherence rates**¹⁵

The cfDNA blood-based test has the potential to be a highly effective CRC screening option



@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023

Chung, et al. Digestive Diseases Week 2023. Abstract #913e

7) Imperiale, et al. 2014. NEJM; 8) Knudsen, et al. 2021. JAMA; 9) Lin JS, et al. 2021. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 10) Bretthauer, et al. 2022. NEJM; 11) Forsberg, et al. 2022. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol; 12) Quintero, et al. 2012. NEJM.; 13) Jensen, et al. 2016. Ann Intern Med; 14) Bakker, et al. 2011. Endoscopy; 15) Singal, et al. 2014. Clin Transl Gastroenterol; 16) Guardant Health internal data

Future Directions

- Real world clinical use and uptake ongoing
- Understanding the impact of this blood-based test and high adherence on life years gained and number of downstream colonoscopies to help inform clinical use
- Further assay development to expand detection capabilities
- 1- and 2-year health outcomes



@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023

Conclusions

- This cfDNA blood-based test demonstrates 83% sensitivity, 90% specificity in average-risk CRC screening, including clinically relevant Stage I-III CRCs
- The ECLIPSE study diversity is reflective of the demographics of the intended use population in the US
- This cfDNA assay is the first blood-based test with performance comparable to current guideline-recommended non-invasive CRC screening options
- Combined with improved adherence with blood-based diagnostics, this cfDNA test is poised to have a significant impact on CRC screening in the population



@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023

Questions

۲

•

Daniel Chung, MD Chung.Daniel@mgh.harvard.edu

Thank you!

- Healthy individuals who volunteered their participation in ECLIPSE.
- Site investigators and study staff for their collaboration throughout the COVID pandemic
- Co-authors and study team



@DDWMEETING | #DDW2023